

# NONDIAGONAL $CP_m$ COSET MODELS AND THEIR POINCARÉ POLYNOMIALS

G. Aldazabal, I. Allekotte

*Centro Atómico Bariloche\*, 8400 S. C. de Bariloche,*

*Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica,*

*Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas,*

*and Instituto Balseiro, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina.*

E. Andrés

*Centro Atómico Bariloche, 8400 S. C. de Bariloche,*

*Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica,*

*and Instituto Balseiro, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina.*

C. Núñez

*Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio, C.C. 67, suc. 28, 1428 Buenos Aires,*

*and Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina.*

$N = 2$  coset models of the type  $SU(m+1)/SU(m) \times U(1)$  with nondiagonal modular invariants for both  $SU(m+1)$  and  $SU(m)$  are considered. Poincaré polynomials of the corresponding chiral rings of these algebras are constructed. They are used to compute the number of chiral generations of the associated string compactifications. Moddings by discrete symmetries are also discussed.

## 1. Introduction

Critical superstrings in four spacetime dimensions may be obtained by different compactification procedures from the ten dimensional superstring.  $N = 1$  spacetime supersymmetry in four dimensions requires a conformal field theory with  $N=2$  supersymmetry in the internal compactified sector <sup>[1]</sup>. An interesting alternative way of achieving consistent string theories in four dimensions was suggested by D. Gepner <sup>[2]</sup>. In this construction, known as “ $N = 2$  string theory,” the internal sector corresponds to a tensor product of  $N = 2$  superconformal minimal models with total central charge  $c_{int} = 9$ . The spacetime supersymmetry projector is constructed from the  $U(1)$  current of the algebra. Compatibility with modular invariance is ensured by projection over states with odd integer charge. In order to obtain a gauge group large enough to include the standard model, a heterotic transformation on the left four dimensional spacetime sector can be carried out without spoiling modular invariance. In a generalization of this scheme, provided by Kazama and Suzuki in Ref. [3], the internal sector is built out of tensor products of coset models.

$N = 2$  string theory provides an algebraic construction of four dimensional strings. Information of this algebraic structure can be encoded in a Poincaré polynomial which counts chiral primary states together with their  $U(1)$  charge. The construction of these Poincaré polynomials is relevant for finding the relation between this algebraic approach and the geometric Calabi-Yau compactifications. This relation has been established in some cases in Refs. [4–6]. In this article we compute Poincaré polynomials for  $CP_m$  coset models, *i.e.*  $SU(m+1)/SU(m) \times U(1)$  models, coupling the left and right moving sectors with nondiagonal invariants both for  $SU(m+1)$  and  $SU(m)$ .

Due to the enormous degeneracy of four-dimensional string vacua and the lack of a theoretical argument to pinpoint the correct one, much effort <sup>[7–11]</sup> has been invested in a systematic study of possible  $N = 2$  string models. In Ref. [10] the number of  $E_6$  generations for  $CP_m$  coset models with a large class of nondiagonal couplings for the left and right moving sectors of  $SU(m+1)$  was computed by direct construction of the massless spectrum.

The knowledge of Poincaré polynomials is particularly useful for performing this kind of

computations as shown by C. Vafa in Ref. [12] and applied to this context by E. Buturovic [8]. Following these authors we further generalize the results of Ref. [10] by computing the number of chiral generations of  $(2, 2)$  string compactifications with  $CP_m$  coset models which have at least one nondiagonal invariant for  $SU(m)$  as well.

The number of  $E_6$  generations obtained in this way is too large to make these models phenomenologically tractable. When moddings by discrete symmetries are taken into account, this number is considerably reduced. We therefore conclude by discussing moddings and describing them in terms of Poincaré polynomials for the twisted sectors.

## 2. $N = 2$ $CP_m$ Coset Models

Let us consider the quotient theory  $SU(m+1)_k \times SO(2m)_1 / SU(m)_{k+1} \times U(1)$  to which we shall refer as  $(m, k)$ . We denote the fundamental weight vectors of  $SU(m+1)$  and  $SU(m)$  by  $\omega_i$  with  $i$  ranging from 0 to  $m$  and  $m-1$  respectively. States of the  $N = 2$  left superconformal algebra (SCA) are labelled by  $|\Lambda, \lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}\rangle$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a weight vector of  $SU(m+1)$  at level  $k$  ( $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m m_i \omega_i$ ;  $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m m_i \leq k$ );  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  is a  $SO(2m)$  weight at level 1 (so it can only take the values  $0, v, s, \bar{s}$ ) and  $\lambda$  is a weight vector of  $SU(m) \times U(1)$  at level  $k+1$  obtained by decomposing  $|\Lambda\rangle \otimes |\tilde{\Lambda}\rangle$  into irreducible representations of  $SU(m) \times U(1)$ . Furthermore,  $\lambda$  will be decomposed into a  $SU(m)$  weight  $\hat{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} n_i \omega_i$  and a  $U(1)$  charge  $q$  (corresponding to the  $U(1)$  of  $SU(m) \times U(1)$ ) as:

$$\lambda = \hat{\lambda} + \frac{\omega_m}{m} q \quad (1)$$

The conformal dimension  $\Delta$  and  $U(1)$  supersymmetry charge  $Q$  are given by

$$\Delta = \frac{\Lambda(\Lambda + 2\rho_{m+1}) - \lambda(\lambda + 2\rho_m)}{2(k+m+1)} + \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}^2}{2} + N \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= - \sum_{l=1}^{2m} \tilde{\Lambda}^l + \frac{2}{k+m+1} (\rho_{m+1} - \rho_m) \cdot \lambda + 2M = \\ &= - \sum_{l=1}^{2m} \tilde{\Lambda}^l + \frac{q}{k+m+1} + 2M \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\rho_{m+1}$  and  $\rho_m$  denote half the sum of the positive roots of  $SU(m+1)$  and  $SU(m)$  respectively, and  $N, M$  are integers.

However, not all the states constructed in this way are independent. This is due to a state identification implied by the existence of a proper external automorphism  $\sigma$  of the extended Dynkin diagram of the affine Lie algebra of  $SU(m+1)$ . Under this automorphism a state  $|\Lambda, \hat{\lambda}, q, \tilde{\Lambda}\rangle$  changes to <sup>[13–15]</sup>  $|\sigma(\Lambda), \sigma(\hat{\lambda}), \sigma(q), \sigma(\tilde{\Lambda})\rangle$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\Lambda) &= (k - \sum_{i=1}^m n_i) \omega_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m n_{i-1} \omega_i \\ \sigma(\hat{\lambda}) &= (k - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} n_i) \omega_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} n_{i-1} \omega_i \\ \sigma(q) &= q + k + m + 1 \\ \sigma(\tilde{\Lambda} = (0), (v), (s), (\bar{s})) &= ((v), (0), (\bar{s}), (s))\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

These states should be identified for the fields in the theory to form a unitary representation of the modular group. As the  $CP_m$  models do not possess automorphism fixed points, this field identification poses no further problem (for a discussion of fixed points in coset models see Refs. [9,16]).

Characters of the  $N = 2$  SCA are defined by

$$\chi_{\Lambda}^G \chi_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^{SO(d)} = \sum_{\lambda} \chi_{\Lambda, \lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}}^{N=2} \chi_{\lambda}^H\tag{5}$$

Under modular transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\Lambda, \lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}}^{N=2}(-1/\tau) &= S_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} S_{\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{\Lambda}'} S_{\lambda, \lambda'}^* \chi_{\Lambda, \lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}}^{N=2}(\tau) \\ \chi_{\Lambda, \lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}}^{N=2}(\tau + 1) &= T_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} T_{\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{\Lambda}'} T_{\lambda, \lambda'}^* \chi_{\Lambda, \lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}}^{N=2}(\tau)\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Thus, a general modular invariant partition function for a given coset model has the form

$$Z = \sum_{\Lambda, \lambda, \bar{\Lambda}, \bar{\lambda}} \chi_{\Lambda, \lambda, \bar{\Lambda}}^{N=2} \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda, \bar{\Lambda}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \bar{\lambda}} \chi_{\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{\lambda}, \Lambda}^{N=2*}\tag{7}$$

In the above equation the sum extends over states  $(\Lambda, \lambda)$  and  $(\bar{\Lambda}, \bar{\lambda})$  satisfying the condition  $C(\Lambda - \lambda)$  of Ref. [13], which for the NS sector corresponds to  $\Lambda - \lambda \in M$ , the root lattice of  $SU(m+1)$ .  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  denote modular invariants for  $SU(m+1)$  and  $SU(m) \times U(1)$  respectively. Up to date, a complete classification of modular invariants for  $SU(N)$ ,  $N > 2$ ,

is still lacking. Nevertheless, in Ref. [10] a wide variety of them was used in model building. Only one invariant, which is relevant to string compactification when nondiagonal invariants for  $SU(m)$  are called for, was not explicitly calculated before. Namely, the invariant  $C(5, 8)$  derived from the embedding of  $SU(6)_8$  into  $SO(21)_1$  [17], which we list in table I. For the remaining invariants we refer the reader to Ref. [10]. For all invariants considered here the condition  $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda, \Lambda'} = \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(\Lambda), \sigma(\Lambda')}$  is fulfilled. In the above reference, the  $SU(4)_{kodd}$   $G$  invariant does not satisfy this relation. However, in this case all results are identical to those obtained with the diagonal one.

### 3. Poincaré Polynomials

Chiral-chiral (chiral-antichiral) primary states of the full  $N = 2$  SCA are states in the Neveu-Schwarz (NS) sector defined by the condition  $\Delta = \frac{Q}{2}$ ,  $\bar{\Delta} = \frac{\bar{Q}}{2}$  ( $\Delta = \frac{Q}{2}$ ,  $\bar{\Delta} = -\frac{\bar{Q}}{2}$ ). In our conventions, barred quantities refer to the right  $N = 2$  algebra. For  $CP_m$  coset models, states satisfying  $\Delta = Q/2$  are those of the form [18]

$$|\Lambda, \Lambda, (0)\rangle = |\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \omega_i, \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \omega_i, (0)\rangle \quad (8)$$

and their  $\sigma$ -transformed ones. For states like (8) the integers  $N, M$  in equations (2), (3) are zero [18], whereas for the  $\sigma$ -transformed ones they adjust to give the same value of  $\Delta$  and  $Q$ .

The set of chiral primary fields of an  $N = 2$  superconformal algebra is known to possess a bigraded commutative algebra structure [18,19], with the bigrading given by the left and right  $U(1)$  supersymmetry charges  $Q$  and  $\bar{Q}$ . Associated to this (finite dimensional) chiral algebra, the Poincaré polynomial can be defined as

$$\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = \sum_{chiral \ states} t^{DQ} \bar{t}^{D\bar{Q}} \quad (9)$$

Here  $D$  is the smallest integer such that  $DQ$  is an integer for all states.

In this article we have computed Poincaré polynomials for those  $CP_m$  cosets with non-diagonal invariants which are relevant to string compactification. All chiral primary states were constructed with a computer program, and their  $U(1)$  charge was thus computed. Field identifications (4) had to be taken properly into account.

If either  $\mathcal{N}$  or  $\mathcal{M}$  are diagonal, then  $Q = \bar{Q}$  and the polynomials take the simple form

$$\mathcal{P}(t\bar{t}) = \sum_{|\Lambda, \Lambda, (0)\rangle} \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda, \Lambda} \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda, \Lambda} (t\bar{t})^{\frac{Dq(|\Lambda, \Lambda, (0)\rangle)}{k+m+1}} \quad (10)$$

For example, for the coset  $SU(3)_9/SU(2)_{10} \times U(1) \equiv (2, 9)CA$ <sup>1</sup> with invariants  $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda, \bar{\Lambda}} = C(2, 9)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \bar{\lambda}} = \delta_{\lambda, \bar{\lambda}} A(1, 10)$  we obtain the polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}(t\bar{t}) = 1 + 3(t\bar{t})^2 + 4(t\bar{t})^3 + 3(t\bar{t})^4 + (t\bar{t})^6 \quad D = 4 \quad (11)$$

Also, if both  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are diagonal we recover the result of Ref. [8]. For series invariants the polynomials can be cast in compact expressions for arbitrary values of  $k$ . Examples for  $CP_1$  and  $CP_2$  are given in Table II. States with  $Q \neq \bar{Q}$  only appear when both  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are nondiagonal. These models do not admit a Landau- Ginzburg description. The Poincaré polynomials for some of these cases are listed in Table III<sup>[20]</sup>.

It can be seen that, although we are considering nondiagonal invariants for  $SU(m)$ , the field  $C_{max}$  with charge  $Q_{max} = \bar{Q}_{max} = c/3$  is always in the theory and therefore the Poincaré polynomial possesses the duality property<sup>[6,18]</sup>

$$P\left(\frac{1}{t}, \frac{1}{\bar{t}}\right) = (t\bar{t})^{-cD/3} P(t, \bar{t}) \quad (12)$$

Equivalences among some of the models with diagonal  $\mathcal{M}$  have already been listed in the literature<sup>[7,10]</sup>. We further reconfirm them and add the following identities (denoted by  $\equiv$ ) among the Poincaré polynomials corresponding to the models:

$$\begin{aligned} (3, 8)DD &\equiv (3, 8)DC \equiv (3, 8)DE \equiv (3, 8)CD \equiv (3, 8)CE \equiv (3, 8)ED \equiv \\ (3, 8)EC &\equiv (3, 8)EE \equiv (4, 5)DD_2 \equiv (4, 5)DC \equiv (4, 5)CD_2 \equiv (4, 5)EC \\ (2, 5)CF &\equiv (2, 5)CA & (2, 9)DE &\equiv (2, 9)EE \\ (2, 9)CA &\equiv (2, 9)CF \equiv (3, 4)CA & (2, 9)CE &\equiv (3, 4)CC \\ (2, 15)AE &\equiv (3, 5)AA & (2, 15)DE &\equiv (3, 5)AD \\ (2, 21)CA &\equiv (2, 21)CF & (2, 27)DE &\equiv (3, 6)D_2A \\ (3, 8)D_2E &\equiv (4, 5)DA & (3, 8)CC &\equiv (4, 5)CC \\ (m, k)AX &\equiv (m-1, k+1)XA \left(1, \frac{k-m+1}{m}\right)A \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We denote the coset  $SU(m+1)_k/SU(m)_{k+1} \times U(1)$  with invariants  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $(m, k)\mathcal{NM}$ .

where  $X$  denotes an arbitrary invariant.

#### 4. 4D String Construction

To make a string theory out of these models the Gepner construction has to be followed: each sector (left and right moving) will be a product of spacetime bosons and fermions times the product of internal  $N = 2$  coset fields, such that  $c_{int} = 9$ . To obtain  $N = 1$  spacetime supersymmetry, a projection over states with odd integer  $U(1)$  charge  $Q$  must be performed.

By building the internal sector as a tensor product of  $r$   $CP_m$  coset models <sup>[3]</sup>, states in the complete internal theory are given by

$$\otimes_{i=1}^r |\Lambda_i, \hat{\lambda}_i, q_i, \tilde{\Lambda}_i\rangle \quad (13)$$

It is useful to denote each state in the full theory by a vector

$$V = (\tilde{\Lambda}_0; q_1, \dots, q_r; \tilde{\Lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Lambda}_r) \quad (14)$$

where  $\tilde{\Lambda}_0$  is an  $SO(2)$  weight. We also introduce the scalar product

$$V \cdot V' = \sum_{i=0}^r \tilde{\Lambda}_i \tilde{\Lambda}'_i - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i q'_i}{2\eta_i(k_i + m_i + 1)} \quad (15)$$

and the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &= (\bar{s}; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r; s_1, \dots, s_r) \\ \beta_i &= (v; 0, \dots, 0; 0, \dots, v, \dots, 0) \quad (v \text{ in the } i\text{-th. position}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $\eta_i = \frac{1}{2}m_i(m_i + 1)$ .

The supersymmetry projection and aligned boundary conditions (R-R and NS-NS) are accomplished by

$$Q(\bar{V}) = 2\beta_0 \cdot \bar{V} = \text{odd integer} \quad (17)$$

$$2\beta_i \cdot \bar{V} = \text{even integer} \quad (18)$$

For Neveu-Schwarz states condition (17) amounts to integer internal charge  $Q_{int}$ . To maintain modular invariance, twisted sectors must be included. This is achieved by the condition

$$V = \bar{V} + s\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \beta_i \quad (19)$$

with  $s$  and  $n_i$  integers. It is understood that a given state is allowed whenever both invariants  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are nonvanishing and its multiplicity is given by the product of the modular coefficients. Equation (19) means that for NS-NS states, in the  $s$ -th twisted sector the condition  $q_i = \bar{q}_i$  is replaced by

$$q_i - \bar{q}_i = 2s\eta_i \quad (20)$$

However, since the shift vector  $\beta_0$  has an even integer charge,  $Q(\beta_0) = 2$ , the relation  $Q_{int} - \bar{Q}_{int} \in Z$  still holds for  $c_{int} \in 3Z$ .

The heterotic construction is implemented by replacing left spacetime fermions by internal free bosons with central charge  $c = 24$  to cancel the bosonic anomaly. Modular invariance of the theory follows from the isomorphism between representations of  $SU(2)$  and the new gauge group  $E_8 \times SO(10)$  under the modular group. With the supersymmetry projection the gauge group gets enlarged from  $E_8 \times SO(10)$  to  $E_8 \times E_6$ .

Massless matter (antimatter) fields belong to the **27** ( $\overline{27}$ ) representation of  $E_6$ , which decomposes as **27** = **10**<sub>1</sub> + **16**<sub>-1/2</sub> + **1**<sub>-2</sub> ( $\overline{27}$  = **10**<sub>-1</sub> +  $\overline{\mathbf{16}}$ <sub>1/2</sub> + **1**<sub>2</sub>) of  $SO(10) \times U(1)$ . The number of chiral generations  $N_{27}$  ( $N_{\overline{27}}$ ) may be obtained by looking at the coupling of a left  $SO(2)$  scalar with a right  $SO(10)$  vector. The masslessness condition implies that the **27** correspond to chiral states with  $\Delta_{int} = Q_{int}/2 = 1/2$ ,  $\bar{\Delta}_{int} = \bar{Q}_{int}/2 = 1/2$  and the  $\overline{27}$  correspond to antichiral states with  $\Delta_{int} = Q_{int}/2 = 1/2$ ,  $\bar{\Delta}_{int} = -\bar{Q}_{int}/2 = 1/2$ . Alternatively, an equivalent counting of  $\overline{27}$  can be achieved by considering the right  $SO(10)$  scalar, that is, states with  $\bar{\Delta}_{int} = \bar{Q}_{int}/2 = 1$ . The net number of generations is then given by  $N_{gen} = |N_{27} - N_{\overline{27}}|$ .

If the internal theory corresponds to a compactification on a Calabi-Yau manifold, the  $\overline{27}$  and **27** are related to  $b_{1,1}$  and  $b_{1,2}$  respectively, where  $b_{p,q}$  are the number of harmonic  $(p, q)$  forms on the manifold. The Euler characteristic is, for  $c_{int} = 9$ ,

$$\chi = \sum_{p,q=0}^3 (-1)^{p+q} b_{p,q} = 2(b_{1,1} - b_{1,2}) \quad (21)$$



A way of computing  $\chi$  relies on the knowledge of the previously constructed Poincaré polynomials. Each superstring model, with its internal sector composed of a tensor product of  $CP_m$  cosets, will thus be characterized by a Poincaré polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i(t^{D/D_i}, \bar{t}^{D/D_i}) \quad (22)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_i$  are the Poincaré polynomials for each coset model,  $D_i$  are the least integers (in each model) such that  $D_i Q_{int}$  is an integer and  $D$  is the least common multiple of all  $D_i$ .

However, this polynomial only counts states in the untwisted sector. To include twisted sectors we may also define “twisted polynomials”,  $\mathcal{P}^{(s)}(t, \bar{t}) = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{(s)}(t^{D/D_i}, \bar{t}^{D/D_i})$ . These are constructed by summing over left and right chiral states coupled according to the modular invariants and satisfying condition (20),

$$\mathcal{P}_i^{(s)} = \sum_{q_i - \bar{q}_i = 2s\eta_i} t^{DQ_i} \bar{t}^{D\bar{Q}_i} \quad (23)$$

The number of 27 and  $\overline{27}$  are obtained as the coefficients of  $t^D \bar{t}^D$  and  $t^D \bar{t}^{2D}$  in

$$\mathcal{P}^{sum} = \sum_{s=0}^{D-1} \mathcal{P}^{(s)} \quad (24)$$

If one is only interested in the Euler characteristic, these expressions can be computed employing a formula derived by Vafa <sup>[12]</sup> for Landau-Ginzburg models and extended to more general  $N = 2$  superconformal theories by Buturovic <sup>[8]</sup>,

$$N_{gen} = \frac{1}{2D} \sum_{r,s=0}^{D-1} P_{r,s} \quad (25)$$

where

$$P_{r,s} = Tr \left\{ (-1)^{rc/3} e^{2i\pi r J_0} e^{i\pi(Q - \bar{Q})} \right\} \Big|_{R_0^{(s)}} \quad (26)$$

and  $R_0^{(s)}$  is the Ramond ground state of the  $s$ -th twisted sector. Summation over  $s$  includes all twisted sectors and summation over  $r$  projects over integer  $Q_{int}$ . The factor  $e^{i\pi(Q_{int} - \bar{Q}_{int})}$  takes into account the term  $(-1)^{p+q}$  of equation (21).

Due to modular invariance, it is possible <sup>[12,8]</sup> to write the contribution of the twisted sectors in terms of the untwisted one as  $P_{r,s} = P_{x_{r,s},0}$  with  $x_{r,s}$  the greatest common divisor

of  $r, s$ . By spectral flow, the Ramond ground state  $R_0$  can be related to the chiral ring  $\mathcal{R}$  of the NS sector. It thus follows that the trace (26) over the twisted Ramond ground state is just the Poincaré polynomial of the theory evaluated at particular values of the parameters  $t, \bar{t}$ :

$$P_{x,0} = \mathcal{P}(t = e^{2i\pi x/D+i\pi/D}; \bar{t} = e^{-i\pi/D}) \quad (27)$$

Notice that since we are considering nondiagonal modular invariants we keep the term  $e^{i\pi(Q-\bar{Q})}$  in the untwisted sector.

When considering moddings by discrete symmetries we will need expressions relating different twisted sectors for each internal coset theory. We therefore rewrite explicitly equation (25) in terms of twisted polynomials,

$$N_{gen} = \frac{1}{2D} \sum_{r,s=0}^{D-1} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i^{(s)}(t = e^{2i\pi r/D+i\pi/D}, \bar{t} = e^{-i\pi/D}) \quad (28)$$

## 5. Moddings by Discrete Symmetries

As is well known <sup>[2,11,21]</sup>,  $CP_m$  cosets have a discrete  $Z_{k+m+1}$  symmetry which, when taken into account, may reduce the net number of generations of the corresponding string compactification. Dividing by  $Z_N$  is equivalent to introducing twisted boundary conditions which can be included in the corresponding Poincaré polynomials as we will now explain.

These moddings are characterized by a vector

$$\Gamma = (0; \gamma_1 \eta_1, \dots, \gamma_r \eta_r; 0, \dots; 0) \quad (29)$$

where  $\gamma_i$  are integers satisfying

$$2\beta_0 \cdot \Gamma = - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\gamma_i \eta_i}{k_i + m_i + 1} = integer \quad (30)$$

States in the twisted sectors now verify

$$V = \bar{V} + s\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \beta_i + 2x\Gamma \quad (31)$$

and the generalized GSO projection over states with integer  $Q_{int}$  is now given by the conditions <sup>[11]</sup>

$$2\beta_0 \cdot \bar{V} = \text{odd} \quad (32)$$

$$2\beta_i \cdot \bar{V} = \text{even} \quad (33)$$

$$-\Gamma(2\bar{V} + 2x\Gamma) = \text{integer} \quad (34)$$

A modular invariant partition function is constructed by summing over all twisted sectors and implementing the above projections. Keeping in mind that only states allowed by the invariants  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  (with its corresponding multiplicity) should be coupled and defining

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s, n_i, x; r, m_i, y) &= \\ &= \sum_V (-1)^{s+r} e^{-2i\pi V(r\beta_0 + \sum_i m_i \beta_i)} e^{-2i\pi y \Gamma(2V + 2x\Gamma)} \chi_V \chi_{V+s\beta_0 + \sum_i n_i \beta_i + 2x\Gamma}^* \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

the partition function is given by

$$Z = \frac{1}{D^{r+1} M} \sum_{r,s,n_i,m_i}^{D-1} \sum_{x,y=0}^{M-1} Z(s, n_i, x; r, m_i, y) \quad (36)$$

The summation over  $y$  implements condition (34) and summation over  $x$  is such that all sectors twisted by  $\Gamma$  are included. Therefore, both sums should range from 0 to  $M-1$ ,  $M$  being the least integer such that  $M\gamma_i = I \bmod(k_i + m_i + 1)$  for all  $i$ , with  $I$  a positive integer.

The computation of the Euler characteristic for the associated compactified manifolds can now be carried out with the knowledge of the twisted Poincaré polynomials. To generalize eq. (26) by including the moddings, we introduce the quantity

$$P_{r,y;s,x} = \text{Tr} \left\{ (-1)^{rc/3} e^{i\pi(Q-\bar{Q})} e^{2i\pi r J_0} e^{2i\pi y \sum_i \gamma_i (q_i + \bar{q}_i)/2(k_i + m_i + 1)} \right\}_{R_0^{(s,x)}} \quad (37)$$

$R_0^{(s,x)}$  refers to the Ramond ground state such that

$$V - \bar{V} = 2s\beta_0 + 2x\Gamma \quad (38)$$

Equation (37) can be factorized as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{r,y;s,x} &= \prod_i (P_i)_{r,y;s,x} = \\ &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \prod_i \left[ (-1)^{rc_i/3} e^{i\pi(Q_i - \bar{Q}_i)} e^{2i\pi r J_{0i}} e^{2i\pi y \gamma_i (q_i + \bar{q}_i)/2(k_i + m_i + 1)} \right]_{R_{0i}^{(s,x)}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where  $R_{0_i}^{(s,x)}$  consists of the states satisfying the condition

$$(q_i - \bar{q}_i) = 2\eta_i(s + x\gamma_i) \quad (40)$$

This condition allows us to replace  $q_i + \bar{q}_i$  by  $2q_i - 2\eta_i(s + x\gamma_i)$  in equation (39). Consequently, it is also possible to replace  $q_i/(k_i + m_i + 1)$  by  $Q_i$ . Furthermore,  $(P_i)_{r,y;s,x} = (P_i)_{r,y;s+x\gamma_i,0}$ .

The number of chiral generations is then given by

$$N_{gen} = \frac{1}{2MD} \sum_{r,s=0}^{D-1} \sum_{x,y=0}^{M-1} P_{r,y;s,x} \quad (41)$$

Again,  $P_{r,y;s,x}$  may be written in terms of products of twisted Poincaré polynomials as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{r,y;s,x} &= \prod_{i=1}^r (P_i)_{r,y;s,x} = \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r e^{-2i\pi y \gamma_i^2 x \eta_i / (k_i + m_i + 1)} \mathcal{P}_i^{(s+x\gamma_i)}(t = e^{2i\pi r/D + i\pi/D + 2i\pi y \gamma_i/D}; \bar{t} = e^{-i\pi/D}) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

## 6. Results and Conclusions

In section 3 we have constructed Poincaré polynomials for  $CP_m$  coset models with a large class of nondiagonal modular invariants. The polynomials are concise expressions containing information about the elements of the chiral algebra of the model. Equivalences among models can be more easily established by observing whether their Poincaré polynomials coincide. When constructing superstring models in four dimensions as discussed in section 4, the massless spectrum may be obtained from the Poincaré polynomial, thus bypassing the explicit construction of states case by case.

We have used the formulas derived above to compute the number of generations for string compactifications based on tensor products of  $CP_m$  coset models, containing at least one coset with nondiagonal  $SU(m)$  invariant. A full list of results is given in [20]. These results do not differ much from those previously obtained in the literature [7,9–11] for less general models. The number of generations ranges from zero to 360 for the 1144 models considered.  $N_{gen}$  either zero or multiple of 8, 12, 18 are the numbers more often found. Models with  $0 < N_{gen} < 12$  are listed in table IV.

It is interesting to notice that some coset models possess Poincaré polynomials that vanish when evaluated at the appropriate values of  $t, \bar{t}$  given by equation (27). Therefore, all string compactifications containing these cosets as internal theories will have vanishing number of generations. They may correspond to models with  $N_{27} = N_{\overline{27}} = 5, 9, 13$  as well as to compactifications on  $K_3 \times T$  with  $N_{27} = N_{\overline{27}} = 21$ . Models with vanishing polynomials are displayed in table V.

In order to search for superstring models with lower number of generations we have also applied the results of section 5 to the aforementioned coset models. Taking into account single moddings by phase symmetries we studied 4819 models. We found that more than half of these have zero generations. We also encountered 2, 18, 59 and 367 models with  $N_{gen}=4, 6, 8$  and 12, respectively.

## Acknowledgments

We thank A. Font for her contribution during the initial stage of this work. Comments by Prof. D. Gepner are also very gratefully acknowledged. Two of us (G.A. and I.A.) thank IAFE and C.N. thanks CAB for hospitality.

# TABLES

TABLE I. Modular invariant for  $SU(6)$  at level 8 from the conformal embedding into  $SO(21)$

at level 1. <sup>a</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
C(5, 8) = & |\chi_{00000} + \chi_{20002} + \chi_{21012} + \chi_{03030} + \chi_{03103} + \\
& \chi_{30130} + \chi_{30203} + \chi_{12221} + \chi_{02420} + \chi_{00800}|^2 + \\
& |\chi_{00024} + \chi_{01301} + \chi_{02030} + \chi_{10122} + \chi_{00008} + \\
& \chi_{31031} + \chi_{22210} + \chi_{30302} + \chi_{24200} + \chi_{08000}|^2 + |cr|^2 + \\
& |\chi_{00002} + \chi_{20012} + \chi_{21030} + \chi_{21103} + \chi_{03121} + \chi_{30221} + \chi_{12320} + \chi_{02600} + \chi_{04004}|^2 + |cr|^2 + \\
& |\chi_{00012} + \chi_{20030} + \chi_{20103} + \chi_{22004} + \chi_{30320} + \chi_{03220} + \chi_{04022} + \chi_{12500} + \chi_{21121}|^2 + |cr|^2 + \\
& |\chi_{40012} + \chi_{30100} + \chi_{12102} + \chi_{03000} + \chi_{12140} + \chi_{02212} + \chi_{00430} + \chi_{00503} + \chi_{22022}|^2 + |cr|^2 + \\
& |\chi_{00121} + \chi_{01004} + \chi_{21022} + \chi_{30005} + \chi_{21400} + \chi_{22121} + \chi_{04301} + \chi_{05030} + \chi_{20220}|^2 + |cr|^2 + \\
& |\chi_{00220} + \chi_{01022} + \chi_{10005} + \chi_{20400} + \chi_{30023} + \chi_{22301} + \chi_{23030} + \chi_{05210} + \chi_{21121}|^2 + |cr|^2 + \\
& |\chi_{60000} + \chi_{32001} + \chi_{22103} + \chi_{12110} + \chi_{10312} + \chi_{03022} + \chi_{01232} + \chi_{00260} + \chi_{00400}|^2 + |cr|^2 + \\
& |\chi_{00123} + \chi_{02210} + \chi_{10302} + \chi_{11031} + \chi_{00026} + \chi_{23200} + \chi_{31211} + \chi_{26000} + \chi_{40040}|^2 + |cr|^2 + \\
& |\chi_{40220} + \chi_{32201} + \chi_{25000} + \chi_{20040} + \chi_{03200} + \chi_{01032} + \chi_{00303} + \chi_{00125} + \chi_{11211}|^2 + |cr|^2 + \\
& |\chi_{00050} + \chi_{21210} + \chi_{14001} + \chi_{10221} + \chi_{43010} + \chi_{10043} + \chi_{01214} + \chi_{50300} + \chi_{02202}|^2 + |cr|^2
\end{aligned}$$

<sup>a</sup>  $|cr|$  denotes the sum of conjugate representations

TABLE II. Poincaré polynomials for  $CP_1$  and  $CP_2$  models with series invariants

| Model        | Poincaré polynomial                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                      |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| $(1, k)D, F$ | $P(t\bar{t}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k/2} (t\bar{t})^{2i} + (t\bar{t})^{k/2}$                                                                                                                                                                                          | $k$ even                             |
| $(2, k)DA$   | $P(t\bar{t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{k}{3}-1} (\left\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1) [(t\bar{t})^{3n} + (t\bar{t})^{2k-3n}] + (\left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor + 3)(t\bar{t})^k$                                                                   | $k \in 3N^b$                         |
| $(2, k)AD$   | $P(t\bar{t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (n+1) [(t\bar{t})^n + (t\bar{t})^{k-n}] + \sum_{n=\frac{k+1}{4}}^{\frac{3k-1}{4}} (t\bar{t})^n$                                                                                                                    | $k = 4j - 1, j \in Z$                |
| $(2, k)AF$   | $P(t\bar{t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (n+1) [(t\bar{t})^{2n} + (t\bar{t})^{2k-2n}] + \sum_{n=\frac{k-1}{4}}^{\frac{3k+1}{4}} (t\bar{t})^{2n+1}$                                                                                                          | $k = 4j + 1; j \in Z$                |
| $(2, k)DD$   | $P(t, \bar{t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{k-3}{6}} (3n+1) [(t\bar{t})^n + (t\bar{t})^{k/3-n}] +$<br>$\sum_{n=\frac{k+9}{12}}^{\frac{3k-9}{12}} (t\bar{t})^n + t^{\frac{k-3}{12}} \bar{t}^{\frac{3k+3}{12}} + t^{\frac{3k+3}{12}} \bar{t}^{\frac{k-3}{12}}$          | $k = 4j - 1 = 3j';$<br>$j, j' \in Z$ |
| $(2, k)DF$   | $P(t, \bar{t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{k-3}{6}} (3n+1) [(t\bar{t})^{2n} + (t\bar{t})^{2k/3-2n}] +$<br>$\sum_{n=\frac{k+3}{12}}^{\frac{3k-15}{12}} [(t\bar{t})^{2n+1}] + t^{\frac{k-3}{6}} \bar{t}^{\frac{3k+3}{6}} + t^{\frac{3k+3}{6}} \bar{t}^{\frac{k-3}{6}}$ | $k = 4j + 1 = 3j';$<br>$j, j' \in Z$ |

<sup>b</sup>  $[.]$  denotes the integer part.

TABLE III. Poincaré polynomials for coset models with exceptional  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ 

| Model                             | Poincaré polynomial                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $(2, 9)CE, (3, 4)CC$              | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = 1 + t^2 + t\bar{t} + t\bar{t}^3 + \bar{t}^2 + (t\bar{t})^2 + t^3\bar{t} + (t\bar{t})^3$                                                                                                                                                        |
| $(2, 9)EE$                        | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = 1 + t\bar{t} + 5(t\bar{t})^2 + 4(t\bar{t})^3 + 5(t\bar{t})^4 + (t\bar{t})^5 + (t\bar{t})^6$                                                                                                                                                    |
| $(3, 8)CC, (4, 5)CC$              | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = 1 + 2t + t^2 + 2\bar{t} + 4t\bar{t} + 2t^2\bar{t} + \bar{t}^2 + 2t\bar{t}^2 + (t\bar{t})^2$                                                                                                                                                    |
| $(3, 8)CE, EC, EE,$<br>$(4, 5)EC$ | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = 1 + t^2 + 20t\bar{t} + \bar{t}^2 + (t\bar{t})^2$                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| $(4, 7)CC$                        | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = 1 + 2(t\bar{t})^2 + (t\bar{t})^3 + (t\bar{t})^4 + 2(t\bar{t})^5 + (t\bar{t})^7 +$<br>$+ t^3 + \bar{t}^3 + 2t^2\bar{t}^5 + 2t^5\bar{t}^2 + t^4\bar{t}^7 + t^7\bar{t}^4$                                                                         |
| $(4, 7)CE$                        | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = 1 + 4(t\bar{t})^2 + t^2\bar{t}^5 + 8(t\bar{t})^3 + 8(t\bar{t})^4 + t^5\bar{t}^2 + 4(t\bar{t})^5 + (t\bar{t})^7$                                                                                                                                |
| $(5, 6)CC$                        | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = 1 + 2t^2 + t^4 + t\bar{t} + 2t\bar{t}^3 + t\bar{t}^5 + 2\bar{t}^2 + 4(t\bar{t})^2 + 2t^2\bar{t}^4 +$<br>$+ 2t^3\bar{t} + 4(t\bar{t})^3 + 2t^3\bar{t}^5 + \bar{t}^4 + 2t^4\bar{t}^2 + (t\bar{t})^4 + t^5\bar{t} + 2t^5\bar{t}^3 + (t\bar{t})^5$ |
| $(5, 6)\tilde{C}C$                | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = 1 + t^2 + t\bar{t} + t\bar{t}^3 + \bar{t}^2 + 2(t\bar{t})^2 + t^2\bar{t}^4 + t^3\bar{t} +$<br>$+ 2(t\bar{t})^3 + t^3\bar{t}^5 + t^4\bar{t}^2 + (t\bar{t})^4 + t^5\bar{t}^3 + (t\bar{t})^5$                                                     |
| $(6, 7)CC$                        | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = 1 + 3t + 3t^2 + t^3 + 3\bar{t} + 9t\bar{t} + 9t\bar{t}^2 + 3t\bar{t}^3 + 3\bar{t}^2 + 9t^2\bar{t} +$<br>$+ 9(t\bar{t})^2 + 3t^2\bar{t}^3 + \bar{t}^3 + 3t^3\bar{t} + 3t^3\bar{t}^2 + (t\bar{t})^3$                                             |



TABLE IV. Nondiagonal  $CP_m$  coset models with  $0 < N_{gen} < 12$ .

| Model                       | $N_{27}$ | $N_{\overline{27}}$ | $N_{gen}$ |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------|
| (2,9)EF (1,3)A (1,18)A      | 25       | 21                  | 4         |
| (2,9)DF (2,9)AA             | 28       | 22                  | 6         |
| (2,9)DA (2,9)AF             | 28       | 22                  | 6         |
| (2,9)DF (2,9)DA             | 28       | 22                  | 6         |
| (2,9)DF (1,4)A (1,10)A      | 28       | 22                  | 6         |
| (3,4)FC (1,6)A (1,6)A       | 29       | 21                  | 8         |
| (3,4)FC (1,6)F (1,6)F       | 29       | 21                  | 8         |
| (3,4) $D_2$ C (3,4)AC       | 27       | 19                  | 8         |
| (3,4)FC (3,4)AC             | 19       | 27                  | 8         |
| (3,4) $D_2$ C (3,4) $D_2$ C | 27       | 19                  | 8         |
| (3,4)FC (3,4) $D_2$ C       | 19       | 27                  | 8         |
| (3,4)FC (3,4)FC             | 27       | 19                  | 8         |
| (3,4)AC (2,9)EF             | 24       | 16                  | 8         |
| (3,4) $D_2$ C (2,9)EF       | 24       | 16                  | 8         |
| (3,4)FC (2,9)EA             | 24       | 16                  | 8         |
| (6,7)AC                     | 16       | 8                   | 8         |
| (6,7)DC                     | 16       | 8                   | 8         |

TABLE V. Models with Poincaré polynomials vanishing at  $t = e^{2i\pi x/D+i\pi/D}$ ;  $\bar{t} = e^{-i\pi/D}$

| Model              | Poincaré polynomial                                                          |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (2,3)DD            | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = (1+t)(1+\bar{t})$                                 |
| (2,9)CE, (3,4)CC   | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = (1+t^2)(1+\bar{t}^2)(1+t\bar{t})$                 |
| (3,8)CC, (4,5)CC   | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = (1+t)^2(1+\bar{t})^2$                             |
| (5,6)CC            | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = (1+t^2)^2(1+\bar{t}^2)^2(1+t\bar{t})$             |
| (5,6) $\tilde{C}C$ | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = (1+t^2)(1+\bar{t}^2)(1+t\bar{t})(1+t^2\bar{t}^2)$ |
| (6,7)CC            | $\mathcal{P}(t, \bar{t}) = (1+t)^3(1+\bar{t})^3$                             |

## REFERENCES

- \* E-mail addresses: aldazabal@cab.edu.ar; ingo@arib51.bitnet;  
andres@arib51.bitnet; carmen@iafe.edu.ar.
- [1] P. Candelas, G. T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B 258**, 46 (1985);  
D. Friedan, A. Kent, S. Shenker and E. Witten, unpublished;  
A. Sen, Nucl. Phys. **B 278**, 289 (1986); Nucl. Phys. **B 284**, 423 (1987);  
T. Banks, L. Dixon, D. Friedan and E. Martinec, Nucl. Phys. **B 299**, 613 (1988).
- [2] D. Gepner, Nucl. Phys. **B 296**, 757 (1987); “Lectures on  $N = 2$  strings”, Proceedings of the Trieste Spring School 1989, M. Green et al. (eds.), Singapore: World Scientific 1990.
- [3] Y. Kazama and H. Suzuki, Nucl. Phys. **B 321**, 232 (1989).
- [4] D. Gepner, Phys. Lett. **B 199**, 380 (1987);  
D. Gepner, Nucl. Phys. **B 322**, 65 (1989).
- [5] E. Martinec, Phys. Lett. **B 217**, 431 (1989);  
B. Greene, C. Vafa and N. Warner, Nucl. Phys. **B 324**, 371 (1989);  
C. Vafa and N. Warner, Phys. Lett. **B 218**, 51 (1989).
- [6] W. Lerche, C. Vafa and N. Warner, Nucl. Phys. **B 324**, 427 (1989).
- [7] A. Lütken and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. **B 213**, 512 (1988);  
M. Lynker and R. Schimmrigk, Nucl. Phys. **B 339**, 121 (1990);  
J. Fuchs, A. Klemm, C. Scheich and M. Schmidt, Phys. Lett. **B 232**, 317 (1989); Ann. Phys. **204**, 1 (1990);  
A. Font, L. Ibáñez and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. **B 217**, 271 (1989);  
E. Buturović, Phys. Lett. **B 236**, 277 (1990);  
D. Bailin, D. C. Dunbar and A. Love, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6**, 1659 (1991);  
M. Lynker and R. Schimmrigk, Phys. Lett. **B 253**, 83 (1991);

- J. Fuchs, A. Klemm and M. Schmidt, Ann. Phys. **214**, 221 (1992).
- [8] E. Buturović, Nucl. Phys. **B 352**, 163 (1991).
- [9] A. N. Schellekens, Nucl. Phys. **B 366**, 27 (1991).
- [10] G. Aldazabal, I. Allekotte, A. Font and C. Núñez, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 7**, 6273 (1992).
- [11] A. Font, L. E. Ibáñez, F. Quevedo and A. Sierra, Nucl. Phys. **B 337**, 119 (1990).
- [12] C. Vafa, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 4**, 1169 (1989).
- [13] D. Gepner, Phys. Lett. **B 222**, 207 (1989).
- [14] A. Sierra, “Field Identification in  $N = 2$  Cosets”, preprint FTUAM/91-09 (1991).
- [15] Y. Cai and A. He, Phys. Lett. **B 252**, 63 (1990).
- [16] A. N. Schellekens and S. Yankielowicz, Nucl. Phys. **B 334**, 67 (1990); Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 5**, 2903 (1990).
- [17] A.N. Schellekens and N.P. Warner. Phys. Rev. **D 34**, 3092 (1986);  
 F. Bais and P. Bouwknegt, Nucl. Phys. **B 279**, 561 (1987);  
 R. Arcuri, J. Gomes and D. Olive, Nucl. Phys. **B 285**, 327 (1987).
- [18] D. Gepner, Commun. Math. Phys. **142**, 433 (1991).
- [19] M. Atiyah and I. Macdonald, “Introduction to Commutative Algebra”, Reading, MA: Addison Wesley 1969;  
 R. Stanley, “Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra”, Boston: Birkhäuser 1983;  
 N. Bourbaki, “Groups and Lie Algebras”, Chap. V.5.III, Paris: Hermann 1968.
- [20] Complete lists of results for nondiagonal  $CP_m$  coset models can be found in: G. Aldazabal, I. Allekotte, E. Andrés and C. Núñez, Technical Report CNEA-CAB-92/033.
- [21] D. Gepner and Z. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. **B 285** [FS19], 423 (1987).